

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/069,112	HATANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner Pramila Parthasarathy	Art Unit 2136

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Pramila Parthasarathy.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Felber.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 May 2006

**Time:** 10:00am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

1-78

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

5/28/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner pointed out that preliminary amendment filed on 6/19/2002 was overlooked when first office was mailed and requested Applicant to choose the set of claims (1-33 or 34 - 45) to be examined. Applicant requested the Examiner to further consider the amended set of claims 1 - 45 as filed on 3/16/2006.